The Direction de l’Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, based on Article 6 of the Ordonnance du Conseil des EPF sur le personnel du domaine des écoles polytechniques fédérales hereby adopts the following:

Article 1  Aim
1 EPFL defines academic titles corresponding to different academic levels and has established a procedure for conferring these titles with the aim of qualifying academic level and promoting the career and professional mobility of its scientific staff.
2 This directive only concerns academic titles. It does not concern the role or the employment conditions of scientific staff.

Article 2  Academic titles
The academic titles are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>French</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professeur titulaire</td>
<td>Adjunct Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maître d’enseignement et de recherche</td>
<td>Senior Scientist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborateur scientifique senior</td>
<td>Research and Teaching Associate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Article 3  Principles
1 The granting of an academic title is dependent on an evaluation of the candidate’s services in accordance with the criteria and procedures common to all EPFL.
2 Academic promotion to gain a title is justified through academic performance.
3 Each scientific staff member may request an academic evaluation. It is the candidate’s responsibility to prepare the portfolio necessary for the evaluation of his/her services.
4 A staff member’s candidature may only be resubmitted after a period of two years from the date of the initial decision.
5 For the selection of an external Adjunct Professor or Senior Scientist, the evaluation criteria and procedure as laid out in the present directive apply.

Article 4  Evaluation criteria
1 The following evaluation criteria are considered for the purpose of awarding an academic title:
   1. Teaching and training:
      a. Quality and importance of teaching activity, evaluation by students, in particular regarding the quality of communication and charisma in teaching;
      b. Quality of teaching content and quality of teaching supports;
      c. Creativity in terms of education and teaching methods;
      d. Management of semester projects, degree projects and doctoral theses;
      e. Participation in activities of general interest specific to the training.
   2. Research
a. Quality and extent of research activities; scientific creativity, activity in terms of publications and bibliometric impact; conferences given. Participation in interdisciplinary activities. Aspects such as creativity, originality and artistic expression are particularly important in certain subject areas (architecture, human sciences, etc.).
b. Technological innovations, valorisation and technology transfer, advisory activity.
c. Capacity for obtaining third party resources.

3. General
a. Candidate's basic training and qualifications.
b. Professional experience and work placements carried out, international professional experience, professional mobility.
c. Participation in activities of general interest within the context of EPFL and the scientific community.
d. Organisational and management qualities.

2 The Faculty Evaluation Committee is authorised to weight the evaluation criteria and to introduce complementary criteria depending on the specificities of each sector of activity.

Article 5 Authority for conferring scientific titles

1 The title of Research and Teaching Associate may be conferred by the Dean of the Faculty upon notification from the Faculty Evaluation Committee. The allocation of the title is subject to prior approval from the EPFL Direction.

2 The title of Senior Scientist (internal or external) may be conferred by the EPFL Direction, upon successive notification from the Faculty Evaluation Committee and the Dean of the Faculty.

3 Upon successive notification from the Faculty Evaluation Committee and the Dean of the Faculty, the EPFL President will evaluate the notifications and decide whether or not he/she wishes to propose to the ETH Board that the title of Adjunct Professor (internal or external) be awarded.

4 The competent authority for conferring a title will freely evaluate whether or not to award or refuse the academic title.

Article 6 Faculty Evaluation Committee

1 Before giving a notification regarding the granting of an academic title (Adjunct Professor or Senior Scientist) or the awarding or a title (Research and Training Associate), the Dean of the Faculty will request the opinion of the Faculty Evaluation Committee.

2 This permanent committee is implemented by the Faculty Direction. Its composition is subject to the approval of the EPFL Direction. In principle, it includes a maximum of 7 members. A Section Director is to be included.

Article 7 Research and Teaching Associate: requirements for title holders

A Research and Teaching Associate is an advanced researcher, holding a doctorate degree or experience judged equivalent. He/She successfully develops his/her activities personally and demonstrates his/her excellence with regards to several of the criteria noted in the list in this directive. He/She has an international reputation and assumes key responsibilities within the laboratory. Broadly speaking, he/she provides a quality contribution to training.

Article 8 Senior Scientist

1 The term “Dean of the Faculty” refers to both Deans of Faculties and Directors of Colleges.

2 i.e. in an original and independent manner on an intellectual level.
Requirements for awarding the title: the holder of a doctorate degree, he/she is known on both a national and an international level through his/her publications, his/her participation in scientific events and his/her commitment to professional organisations. The candidate successfully develops his/her activities personally and demonstrates his/her excellence with regards to many of the criteria noted in the list in Art. 4 (‘Evaluation criteria’) under the headings of ‘Research’ and ‘Teaching and Training’. He/She provides a frequent quality contribution to training.

Activities and responsibilities linked to the title: the Senior Scientist assumes responsibility for research projects. He/She is authorised to sign funding requests acting as principal applicant. He/She directs the work of a group of researchers (scientists, assistants, doctoral students) and ensures the management of scientific projects in a largely autonomous manner. In accordance with the regulations concerning doctoral studies, he/she may be authorised to direct doctoral theses and to participate in PhD juries. He/She assumes regular teaching duties. These activities are exercised in agreement with the head professor of the laboratory or group. The activities and responsibilities of an external Senior Scientist are defined on an ad-hoc basis by the professor to whom he/she is affiliated and in agreement with the Dean of the Faculty.

Article 9 Adjunct Professor

Requirements for awarding the title: the title of Adjunct Professor may be attributed to a scientist who holds a doctorate degree and who has an important international reputation. The candidate successfully develops his/her activities personally and demonstrates his/her excellence with regards to the majority of the criteria noted in the list in this directive. He/She regularly carries out teaching duties with success.

Activities and responsibilities linked to the title: the Adjunct Professor assumes the same activities as the Senior Scientist. He/She has his/her own field of research. He/She is authorised to direct doctoral theses and to participate in PhD juries. He/She regularly assumes teaching duties. These activities are exercised in agreement with the head professor of the laboratory or group. He/She is authorised to present himself/herself as an “EPFL Adjunct Professor”. The activities and responsibilities of an external Adjunct Professor are defined on an ad-hoc basis by the professor to whom he/she is affiliated and in agreement with the Dean of the Faculty.

Article 10 Procedure

Following an interview with the hierarchical superior, the candidate portfolio (including all of the elements listed in annex 1) for the conferral of a title is prepared by the candidate who transmits it to the Dean, with a copy to the hierarchical superior. The teaching file is to be included in the portfolio.

The Faculty Evaluation Committee requests the opinion of the hierarchical superior. The Committee may refuse to discuss the matter or request additional information. External applications are also by examined by the Faculty Evaluation Committee.

In addition to the candidate portfolio (see annex), the Faculty Evaluation Committee may request information it considers necessary and may also hear the candidate. The Committee will transmit a written and reasoned notification of acceptance or refusal to the Dean.

For a candidate for the title of Senior Scientist or Adjunct Professor, the Committee invites the candidate to give a presentation. External, well-reputed, independent experts will be invited to draft evaluative reports.

The Dean, or his/her representative, will inform the candidate of the result of his/her application in an interview and in writing. The information will be transferred in such a way as to inform the candidate of the strengths and weaknesses of his/her application. Neither the candidate nor his/her representative may access the recommendation letters.

---

3 i.e. in an original and independent manner on an intellectual level.

4 i.e. in an original and independent manner on an intellectual level.
Article 11  Conferring titles to newly employed staff members

Newly employed staff members who wish to obtain an academic title as described in this directive may submit a request a minimum of one year after taking up duties at EPFL.

Article 12  Validity of academic titles

An academic title remains valid for the duration of the period in which the title-holder maintains a working relationship with EPFL, or an academic relationship for external title-holders.

Article 13  Privatdozent

The terms concerning the awarding authorisation and the title of Privatdozent are set out in special directives.

Article 14  Course instructor

The conditions and procedures for the allocation of teaching duties are laid out in LEX 4.3.1 Directive concerning the allocation of teaching duties at EPFL.

Article 15  Former academic titles

1 Scientific staff members of EPFL holding the title of First Assistant, Scientific Assistant, Privatdozent, Senior Scientist or Adjunct Professor prior to the entry into force of the present directive will retain these titles.

2 Each member of the EPFL Scientific Staff who began their duties prior to the entry into force of this directive may apply for one of the new academic titles. Article 7 (“Conferring titles to newly employed staff members”) applies.

Article 16  Entry into force

The present directive entered into force on 1st March 2004, version 1.4, status as at 13th April 2018.

On behalf of the EPFL Direction:

President:  General Counsel:
Martin Vetterli          Susan Killias

Annex: Note concerning the candidate portfolio
Annex: Note concerning the candidate portfolio

1. To be prepared by the candidate:
   a. Curriculum vitae.
   b. List of publications with the three most important publications highlighted. List of conferences delivered. List of patents.
   c. Use of candidate’s teaching supports, books and software by other professors or institutes.
   d. List of courses taught and indication of the number of students.
   e. Description of teaching activities and course content.
   f. Results of teaching evaluations by students and self-evaluation.
   g. Teaching file.
   h. List of undergraduate students and doctoral students.
   i. Description of research with a list of projects from the 3 past years, professional achievements, resources obtained from third parties, prizes.
   j. Vision and detailed plan of future activities in research and teaching.
   k. Participation in international editorial boards, conference organisation, PhD thesis juries.
   l. List of activities of general interest completed in the Faculty, EPFL, scientific community.
   m. Brief report on activity management.
   n. Names of suggested referees.

2. Issued by the Evaluation Committee:
   a. The composition of the Faculty Committee.
   b. A description of the “standards" used by the Faculty Committee to evaluate the candidate.
   c. A detailed line of argument justifying the Committee’s recommendation.
   d. A complete candidate portfolio.
   e. ** A complete list of rapporteurs (approximately 6) used by the Committee, indicating the reasons for their selection. Amongst the portfolio recommendation letters, 4 to 5 should be written by leaders in the field who remain independent of the candidate.
   f. ** A copy of the letters sent to the rapporteurs.
   g. ** The reports from the rapporteurs.
   h. ** A list of 6 – 8 additional rapporteurs with a brief profile summary.

   **not applicable for the title of Research and Teaching Associate